# An Innovative Funding Mechanism for Roadway Construction in Strathcona County's Industrial Heartland Area

Scott Sillers, P.Eng., Bosco Tong, P.Eng.

## 1. Background

- Municipal government owns significant portion of roads
- · Federal and Provincial/Territorial government derive funding from a
- Municipal government has limited funding source
- Introducing CIAC (Contribution In Aid of Construction)
- How CIAC Model works in Strathcona County
- · CIAC advantages and limitations/issues
- . Insights and outlook for future

# Alberta Industrial Heartland Location Map



Strathcona County's Industrial Heartland Area CIAC Contribution Basins



Strathcona County Information

- . Location: Central Alberta: East of Edmonton
- Population: 98,000 (4th largest in Alberta)
- · Specialized Municipality: Urban/Rural areas
- Major Industry: petrochemical, oil & gas, hydrocarbon processing
- . Largest portion of Alberta's Industrial Heartland Area (AIHA): 194 square km with over 40 companies (many world-class)
- . 75% of western Canada's refining capacity

# 2. Conventional Roadway Funding Mechanism

Fuel Tax: Tire Tax: Truck Sales Tax Provincial/Territorial Fuel Tax: Vehicle License and Registration Fees: Violation Fines Transfer Money from Federal and Provincial

Governments; Property Tax

# 3. Problem Statement for Municipalities

#### A Problem

- . Expanding population and business increasing demands on roadways
- Decline in roadway spending
- Deferred maintenance
- · Senior governments download responsibilities without concomitant increase in funding
- · Increasingly stringent regulations
- . No stable, dedicated source of roadway funding

#### B Solution

- Innovative funding methodology/out of the box thinking
- Less reliance on gasoline tax
- Acceptable to industry and residents

4. Innovative Funding Mechanism for Local Governments								
Method	Special Levies	Utility Models	Financial Methods	Development Fees				
Description	Specific levy for a specific purpose	Applicable to utility services	Private or corporate funding in return for recognition	Fees charged to developers, not a tax				
Example	1) Halifax, NS New asphalt overlay 2) PEI Fixed link bridge 3) Toronto, ON Hwy 407	New Glasgow, NS     Toll Bridge	1) Oktoks, AB Recreation Park 2) Calgary & Edmonton, AB Agreement with Province for gas tax 3) Public Private Partnership Sea-to-Sky Highway Kelowna floating bridge Fraser River crossing	Strathcona County, AB     CIAC in IHA				

5. History of Roadway Funding in USA					
Period	Program Title				
1987 -1990	STURAA (\$0.975B/yr.) (Surface Transportation & Uniform Relocation Assistance Act)				
1991 -1997	ISTEA (\$145B) (Intermodal Surface Transportation Equity Act)				
1998 -2003	TEA21 (37% increase) (Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century)				
2005 -2009	SAFETEA (\$7.5B) (Safe Accessible Flexible Efficiency Act)				
2012 -2014	MAP (\$105B) (Moving Ahead Progress)				

(Fixing America's Surface Transportation)

History of Roadway Funding in Canada		
Period	Program Title	
1907	Railway Grade Crossing Fund	
1919 – 1924	Canada Highway Act (extended to 1928)	
2007	Building Canada Plan	
Note: In contrast to U.S.A., Canadian roadway funding is ad hoc; th has been no long term, dedicated Federal tax funding.		

# 7. Case Study Development permit application Assess if exemption or over-contribution is required Over-contribution Site Specific Fee County seeks grant funding Roadway Construction Reconcile fees collected to actual costs; issue refund Over-contribution is reimbursed as other levelopment CIAC fees are collected within the basin

2016 -2020

	2007	Building Canada Plan	
	Note: In contrast to U.S.A., Canadian roadway funding is ad hoc; there has been no long term, dedicated Federal tax funding.		

8. CIAC Model Advantages and Limitations/Issues

· Developers pay proportionate share of infrastructure directly supporting their

. Balanced and fair means of distributing cost of development

Mitigates financial burden and risk to residents and industry

# 6. How CIAC Works

Innovative combination of available tools to fund roadway construction in support of development

- . Legislated authority to collect fees
- Created economic expansion zone, Industrial Heartland Area (IHA)
- IHA policy approved by Council
- IHA transportation study defines infrastructure needs
- Defined contribution basins
- · CIAC rate by basin calculated

 $\textit{Unit CIAC Rate (\$/ha)} = \frac{\textit{Total Estimated Road Proj}}{\textit{Estimated Road Proj}} \textit{ect Cost for Basin(\$)}$ Total Titled Area within Basin (ha)

- · Contribution agreement as a condition of subdivision or issuance of development permit; fee calculated by titled area multiplied by CIAC rate
- County controls strategic planning and construction

### CIAC Exemptions and Flexible Options

- . On-going agricultural zoning use does not trigger CIAC agreement
- . Small, industrial developments may request a one-time, full and final contribution

### 9. Insights and Outlook for Future

- . Fuel tax should be re-evaluated as a reliable source of income
- · Municipalities should strive to become less dependent on transfers from senior government
- Municipalities should explore alternative and innovative funding mechanisms
- CIAC Model can be a viable funding solution
- CIAC is not a nanacea.
- Municipalities need to think outside the box; examples:
  - African financial model
  - · Omaha's gravel road solution

R Limitations/Issues

development

A. Advantages

· Limited opportunities to collect fees

Facilitates efficient IHA development

Clear, easily administered methodology

Funding is received before construction starts

Fees are known early in the development process

- · No staging development
- CIAC rate is initially based on estimates. Same CIAC rate for all developers in a basin.
- . Developers may pay fees, but not trigger construction
- · Potential time lag to repay over-contribution
- CIAC has not been challenged in court
- · Agricultural usage is exempt from CIAC fees